

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X
ROSA ELIZABETH BRAME, :
Plaintiff, : **SCHEDULING ORDER**
- against - : 20-cv-5556 (BMC)
ANDREW SAUL, Commissioner of Social :
Security, :
Defendant. :
----- X

**NOTE THAT THIS ORDER DOES NOT CONFORM WITH
ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 2015-05.
PARTIES SHOULD FOLLOW THE PROCEDURE
SET FORTH BELOW.**

Summary of Schedule:

- **Administrative Record to be filed within 120 days of the date that this Order is docketed.**
- **Plaintiff's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings to be filed within 45 days from the filing of the administrative record.**

Plaintiff initiated this action by filing the complaint on November 15, 2020.

Plaintiff's *in forma pauperis* application is granted pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(c)(3) the Court may order that service be made by a United States Marshal or deputy marshal or by a person specially appointed by the Court. **THE COURT HEREBY APPOINTS PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL AS AN OFFICER OF THE COURT UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d) TO EFFECT SERVICE ON DEFENDANT. PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL IS RESPONSIBLE FOR EFFECTING SERVICE WITHIN 90 DAYS OR THIS ACTION WILL BE DISMISSED.**

Defendant will obtain, file and provide to the Court the administrative record of the proceedings within 120 days of the date that this Order is docketed. Defendant's answer to the complaint is waived. Within 45 days from the filing of the record, plaintiff shall file a motion for judgment on the pleadings. Within 45 days from the filing of plaintiff's motion, defendant shall submit its cross-motion. Any party wishing to file a reply memorandum of law shall notify the Court of its intent to do so within 7 days of the filing date of the opposing memorandum of law to which it wishes to reply, and shall file such reply memorandum of law within 30 days of the filing date of that opposing memorandum of law.

BRIEFING REQUIREMENTS

1. With regard to the description of medical record, the parties are directed to refrain from referring to or citing any portion of the record unless that reference or citation (1) provides material support for the party's position; or (2) is necessary to distinguish or explain as not adequately supporting a result contrary to the party's position. In other words, the Court does not want a rote recitation of every piece of medical evidence, but only such evidence as needs to be considered in connection with the Court's review.
2. To the extent a party's memorandum of law uses medical terminology not in common usage among laypersons, the memorandum shall explain the term, with a citation to a medical reference source supporting the definition. If the use of the term relates to the results of a medical test, the memorandum shall explain how those results bear on the finding of non-disability.
3. Failure to adhere to these briefing requirements may result in the striking of a party's memorandum of law.

SO ORDERED.

U.S.D.J.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
November 18, 2020